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Overview

• This presentation is targeted at programmers who are interested in getting their work into space via new, low-cost and rapid-response opportunities.

• After introducing the CubeSat picosatellite standard, we present an overview of the physical, electrical, mission and cost constraints of a picosatellite conforming to the standard from a programmer’s viewpoint.

• The embedded architecture of Pumpkin’s CubeSat Kit – a popular implementation of the standard – is one reaction to these constraints.

• We examine the effects of these constraints on the CubeSat Kit’s operational software.

• We present extensions to the architecture to bring more “desktop-like functionality” to a CubeSat-class picosatellite.
Part I: Picosatellites & CubeSats

- The CubeSat is a 10 x 10 x 10cm, 1kg public picosatellite design specification proposed by Stanford and Cal Poly San Luis Obispo universities.
- Low-earth orbit (LEO) CubeSat missions have typical lifespans of 3-9 months.
- Cost to complete a CubeSat mission (inception to launch to operation to end-of-life) ranges from <$100,000 to $1,500,000.
- Working from a standard promotes rapid development and idea sharing
- Picosatellites are already a hot topic in aerospace. Worldwide interest is focused on CubeSats in particular, partly because they are becoming a de facto standard.
Picosatellites & CubeSats (cont’d)

• Development, debugging & functional testing: typical lab environment.

• Pre-delivery and pre-launch:
  ▪ Temperature, vacuum and shake tests.
  ▪ Integration into launch vehicle.
  ▪ Picosatellite may remain in storage for months on end waiting for launch.

• Launch & deployment: high g-forces (10g or more).

• Operation in space: vacuum, wide temperature range (-20º to +60º C), solar radiation, and remoteness.

• End of mission: deorbit and burn up in earth's atmosphere.
Picosatellites & CubeSats (cont’d)

• Picosatellite components:
  - Structure.
  - Command & Data Handling (C&DH), with high-frequency transceiver and antenna(s). Ground Stations.
  - Communications (COM).
  - Electrical Power System (EPS).
  - Attitude Determination & Control System (ADACS).
  - Payload.
  - Software, Software, Software.

• Picosatellites are often launched in groups from dedicated launchers as secondary payloads on a rocket. CubeSats are usually ejected from a P-POD launcher.
Part II: The CubeSat Standard


• Requirements summary:
  • Designed to be launched from a P-POD (10 x 10cm internal cross-section)
  • 1kg mass
  • Nominal 10 x 10 x 10cm size to fit inside P-POD launcher
  • +6.5mm allowed above each of the CubeSat’s six faces
  • 2 separation springs
  • Launch switch
  • Remove-before-flight switch
  • Location of access port area clearly defined
  • Material & finish requirements on rail contact surfaces
  • Safety – various electrical, testing and operational requirements
The CubeSat Standard (cont’d)

• Things that the standard does not spell out:
  • Payloads
  • Antennas
  • Electronics
  • Programming
  • Power sources
  • Structural materials
  • Operating frequencies & ground stations

If your CubeSat satisfies the external (i.e. shape), mass, safety and regulatory requirements, then you can “board the bus” for the next available CubeSat launch for $40,000. How you get there, and what you do with your CubeSat, is pretty much up to your imagination.

• CubeSat missions have included technology demonstrators, proof-of-concepts and scientific experiments.
The CubeSat Standard (cont’d)

Figure 1: A Picosatellite Built with the CubeSat Kit
The CubeSat Standard (cont’d)

Figure 2: Skeletonized and solid-wall CubeSat Kit structures in 1U, 2U and 3U sizes, along with an FM430 Flight Module, transceiver and user module stack. All parts are interchangeable.
Part III: Architectural Constraints

- The CubeSat standard – essentially a mechanical & safety specification – along with a typical picosat mission profile impose certain real physical & electrical constraints on the electronics contained therein:
  - Maximum planar dimensions of a PCB: 100 x 100mm, less wall thicknesses. PC/104’s 90 x 96mm is practically speaking the largest common OTS form factor compatible with the CubeSat Kit.
  - Maximum mass: From the 1kg mass budget we must deduct the structure (150 - 300g), EPS (200 – 400g), transceiver(s) and antenna(s) (100 – 200g), and payload. That doesn’t leave much of a home for our IT.
  - Maximum power consumption: A CubeSat-sized object in LEO can expect 1 - 2W on average of radiated power from the Sun. This caps our average power consumption.
  - Maximum cost: Many CubeSat missions are done on small budgets with considerable free / donated materials and labor. COTS components are used whenever possible.
Architectural Constraints (cont’d)

- In addition to the more obvious / external constraints, mounting and interconnect issues bring system integration constraints. This suggests that a high level of electronics integration is required. Some OBC candidates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC/104 SBC + peripherals</td>
<td>large variety, powerful, very PC-like, inexpensive, rugged, -40 to +85 °C</td>
<td>power-hungry (2 - 20W), inefficient packaging / form factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smaller SBC’s (e.g. gumstix, FOX)</td>
<td>very inexpensive, small &amp; light, very PC-like, runs Linux</td>
<td>1 – 2W, not as rugged as PC/104, only 0 to +70 °C, limited peripherals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumpkin’s TI MSP430-based FM430</td>
<td>direct support for transceiver, USB, RBF, LS, SD card and latchup protection, extremely low power (25mW), rugged, -40 to +85 °C</td>
<td>more expensive, advanced functionality (e.g. image processing) not possible with this CPU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom / roll your own</td>
<td>delivers exactly what you (think you) want</td>
<td>news design costs time &amp; money &amp; more time …</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Architectural Constraints (cont’d)

• Not only must the OBC provide a base computing engine, but it also must provide a variety of peripherals to interface to the rest of the picosat, including:
  • General-purpose I/O
  • A/D & D/A
  • Timers
  • Communications (async serial, SPI, I2C)
  • Mass storage

• Given these constraints – esp. power consumption, operating temperature and level of integration – we chose TI’s MSP430 8MHz 16-bit RISC microcontroller for the basis of the CubeSat Kit’s electronics architecture.
Architectural Constraints (cont’d)

MSP430x16x

Figure 3: MSP430x16x Block Diagram
Part IV: CubeSat Kit Architecture

Figure 4: FM430 Block Diagram
CubeSat Kit Architecture (cont’d)

Figure 5: FM430 Flight Module Rev B
Part V: CubeSat Kit Programming

• How does the choice of 16-bit MSP430 affect the programming environment of the CubeSat Kit?
  • 64KB memory space – MSP430F1612 has 5KB RAM and 55KB Flash. *RAM is especially limited*, as is typical of microcontrollers.
  • C rules here. C++ is generally ill-suited to this small embedded programming space. Assembly language is not required. HLL’s are not an option due to memory & speed limitations.
  • Tools (compiler, linker, debugger, IDE, both commercial and free) are very good, standard C libraries are all present, multitasking RTOSes (e.g. Salvo) are available.

• As a *CubeSat programmer, you’re never too far from the on-board hardware*, at least at the early stages of development. Since much of the hardware in each CubeSat’s payload is unique and requires drivers & support, there are many opportunities for real-world learning when programming a CubeSat.
CubeSat Kit Programming (cont’d)

Figure 6: A CubeSat Kit Development Board with a UHF/VHF Radio Module
CubeSat Kit Programming (cont’d)

Figure 7: Screen Capture of Programming / Debugging IDE on CubeSat Kit
CubeSat Kit Programming (cont’d)

- Detecting the presence of USB:

```c
void TaskDetectUSB ( void )
{
    for (;;)
    {
        /* proceed if USB/MHX I/F is not in use */
        OS_WaitBinSem(BINSEM_USB_MHX_AVAIL_P, OSNO_TIMEOUT);
        OpenUSBMHXIF(USB);

        if ( !FM430status.USBpresent && (P1IN & BIT7) )
        {
            FM430status.USBpresent = 1;
            FM430Msg0("DetectUSB: USB connected.");
        }
        else if ( FM430status.USBpresent && !(P1IN & BIT7) )
        {
            FM430status.USBpresent = 0;
            FM430Msg0("DetectUSB: USB disconnected.");
        }

        CloseUSBMHXIF(USB); /* release USB/MHX I/F */
        OSSignalBinSem(BINSEM_USB_MHX_AVAIL_P);

        OS_Delay(25); /* come back in 25 ticks */
    }
}
```

Listing 1: Sample Task to Detect Presence of USB Connection
CubeSat Kit Programming (cont’d)

- Code modules developed for CubeSats include:
  - Interfaces to various I²C devices to measure currents, voltages, etc. I²C is very popular because it’s a two-wire bus with a wide choice of supported devices.
  - Interfaces to various SPI devices (e.g. magnetometers, MMC cards, other / slave processors).
  - Interfaces to various asynchronous serial devices (e.g. transceivers and cameras).
  - Watchdog / reset code (both internal and external).
  - On-board fault detection, collection and correction.
  - Multi-processor intercommunications.
  - Sun- and attitude-sensing algorithms.
  - Deployment & release mechanisms.
  - End-of-life / deorbit mechanisms.
  - In-flight reprogramming.
  - Active attitude control.
Figure 8 & 9: Final Exam for Stanford’s AA236A class – semi-autonomous, remotely-controlled rovers based on the CubeSat Kit
CubeSat Kit Programming (cont’d)

• In CubeSat programming, *the challenge is to do more with less.* More functionality, more reliability and more versatility with less mass, less power and fewer components.

• Example: The KatySat project has an on-board VHF/UHF AX.25 transceiver operating at 1200 bps. The VHF receiver presents ASCII data to the CubeSat Kit’s MSP430 Flight MCU at 4800 bps.

Figure 10: KatySat ConOps
CubeSat Kit Programming (cont’d)

• The challenge with the KatySat VHF/UHF module lies in the fact that we would like to be able to listen on the VHF uplink 100% of the time. This requires a dedicated serial UART. But the FM430’s UART1 is dedicated to the 2.4GHz TT&C, and UART0 is shared among UART, I²C and SPI devices in the CubeSat. So the hardware UARTs are spoken for.

• The solution was to bit-bang the UART in software, using a TI example as the base code. During testing, it was found that a CPU clock of ~ 800kHz (the nominal DCO frequency) was insufficiently fast to guarantee reliable operation. Therefore the MSP430’s CPU clock was sourced from the HF crystal (7.3728MHz). Careful choices of timer modules, interrupt vectors and interface to the overlying RTOS ensure reliable operation within the larger multitasking framework of the SC software. \( \Delta P_D \) was deemed acceptable.
CubeSat Kit Programming (cont’d)

• What about more advanced functionality?
  • uIP’s embedded TCP/IP stack provides web and Telnet servers over CubeSat Kit’s wireless or USB interfaces via SLIP.
  • HCC-embedded’s EFFS-THIN small-footprint PC compatible file system provides easy interfacing between on-board SD card mass storage and development PCs.

• As long as memory requirements are not too extravagant, high-level functionality can be ported to the FM430 via a simple cut-and-paste or by linking to a library.

Figures 11 & 12: Flash and RAM utilization of a uIP-enabled Salvo application on the FM430
Part VI: Architectural Extensions

• All of the MSP430’s I/O is on the CSK bus connector.

• The FM430’s ultralow power requirements mean that it can run 24x7 during the entire mission. Additional processors (e.g. Linux SBC’s) can be added due to a variety of architectural features:
  • Multiple pins on CSK bus connector reserved for user.
  • MSP430’s NMI input enables simple handshake with other processors.
  • SPI, I2C and UART all available for inter-processor communications.
  • Up/downlink transceiver can be accessed directly via CSK bus connector.

• Due to the SBC’s higher power consumption, its on-time duty cycle will necessarily be << 100%. The FM430 can manage it as an “on-demand coprocessor.”
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